זכור את־יום השבת לקדשו. ששת ימים תעבד ועשית כל־מלאכתך, ויום השביעי שבת לה'

SHABBAT LASHEM

THE VOICE OF BNEI AKIVA UK





PARASHAT NASO

2ND & 3RD JUNE 2023 VOLUME 33 ISSUE 27

14[™] SIVAN 5783



NEZIRUT AND KEHUNA

AVI CLEMENTS SHEVET OROT

Revi'i of Parashat Naso details the laws concerning the nazir. It is puzzling that the To-

rah decides to interrupt the account of the census, organisation and roles of Am Yisrael with these mitzvot. Thematically, they belong in Sefer Vayikra, where the central focus is kedusha.

By becoming a *nazir*, the following three prohibitions are adopted: (1) becoming tamei for a dead body, including family members; (2) drinking wine and all grape products; and (3) cutting one's hair. A midrash (Bemidbar Rabba Naso 10:11) notes the parallels between these laws and those of the kohen gadol and comments:

זה לפי שמזיר את עצמו מן היין ונוהג צער בעצמו שלא יגלח ראשו כדי לשמור עצמו מו העבירה אמר הקב"ה הרי הוא חשוב לפני ככהן גדול... ולפי שהוא מגדלו לשם שמים קראו הכתוב עטרה לראשו

Since he swears off wine and afflicts himself by refraining from shaving his head so as to guard himself from transgression... the Holy One, Blessed Be He says "he is as precious to Me as the Kohen Gadol"... and since he grows [his hair out] for the sake of heaven, scripture refers to [his hair] as a crown on his head.

The midrash is to be understood as follows: since the laws of the nazir mimic the laws to which the kohen gadol is bound, the laws of the nazir elevate a regular visrael to the status of a kohen gadol in the eyes of God. The reference to the crown in the latter part of the quote plays on the meaning of the root n/z/r as both a vow (i.e., the one taken by the nazir) and a crown. The nezer, also known as tzitz, is the name of the crown worn by the kohen gadol during his service in the mishkan/mikdash (Shemot 39:30). It is interesting to note that nazir also has a nezer, of a different sort (Vavikra 6:7):

ילְאַבִיו וּלְאָמוֹ לְאַחִיו וּלְאַחתוֹ לֹא ישמא להם במתם כִּי נוֶר אַ-להַיו עַל ראשו:״

"He may not become tamei for his father, mother, sister or brother upon their death, for the nezer of his God is on his head."

Here, the term nezer refers to the nazir's long hair which forms a sort of natural 'crown' on his head as he grows it out during his nezirut. While the *nezer* of the *kohen gadol* is an item placed on his head, externally imposed, the nezer of the nazir grows from within; the nazir has an innate capacity for kedusha, and he need only allow it to emerge.

In light of this analysis, the placement of 'parashat nazir' becomes clear. During this section of Sefer Bemidbar, it is easy to get carried away with the hierarchy established by the different roles within Am Yisrgel and assume that certain individuals or groups are closer to God than others. Any distinction in role or status is technical, not essential, because we fundamentally are all capable of the same intimate connection to God as a kohen gadol. Our covenant with God, which we celebrated over Shavu'ot, establishes a mamlekhet kohanim ve-goy qadosh (Shemot 19:6), a non-hierarchical, horizontal society in which each citizen has equal access to and opportunity for relationship with God.

AVI IS IN SHEVET OROT AND WAS A MADRICH ON **GIMMEL MACHANE 5782**

London In 20:55 Out 22:14 Manchester In 21:16 Out 22:50 Thaxted In 20:57 Out 22:14 Cambridge In 20:59 Out 22:27 Leeds Brighton Oxford

In 21:15 Out 22:51 Nottingham In 21:09 Out 22:40 In 20:54 Out 22:16 In 21:03 Out 22:29

Birmingham In 21:09 Out 22:38 In 21:18 Out 22:52 Liverpool

Bristol In 21:06 Out 22:31 In 19:05 Out 20:23 Jerusalem

SHALIACH'S CORNER: Q&A WITH RAV AVICHAI



Ouestion:

Hi Rav Shavua tov. I have some walking on Shabbat questions for you. If I'm waiting to cross at traffic lights and a Jew pushes the button (let's say I know

they're Jewish not just guessing!) am I allowed to benefit from them doing *melakha* and cross the road when there's a green man?

Or separately let's say there was someone at the traffic lights and they already pushed the button but now they've crossed so it's just me. Am I allowed to still cross when there's a green man or does that count as *mar'it ayin* to the non Jews in the car? Pushing the button isn't actually necessary because one can just wait for a gap in the traffic which is probably just as safe.

Answer:

The question you brought up is one of the most fascinating ones in *hilkhot Shabbat*.

Our journey starts with a *machloket tannaim* (*Chullin* 15a) what is the *halakhic* status of food cooked by a jew on shabbat. And we have 3 opinions:

- 1. **Rabbi Meir**: If the cooking was *b'meizid* (intentional), the one that cooked can't eat the food. But, if it was done *b'shogeig* (by mistake) the food can be eaten.
- 2. **Rabbi Yehuda**: *B'shogeig* the food can be eaten on *motza'ei Shabbat*, *b'meizid* the food can never be eaten.
- 3. Rabbi Yochanan HaSandlar: *B'shogeig* the food can be eaten on *motza'ei Shabbat* by others, but not by the one who cooked. *B'meizid*, the food can never be eaten.

The Gemara (ibid.) discusses the different opinions, but at the end of the day the *posekim* debated which *tanna* should we Paskin by. So, as we have 3 *tannaim*, we have three different opinions in the *posekim*:

- 1. Rambam (shabbat 6:23), Rosh, Ramban & more: Pasken like Rabbi Yehuda.
- 2. **Tosafot** & **Ba'al Ha'Maor**: Pasken like Rabbi Meir. Their proof is that Rav (the *amora*) paskened to the community like Rabbi Meir.
- 3. Smag & Smak: Re cooking they pasken like Rabbi Meir, but re other *melakhot* of Shabbat they pasken like Rabbi Yehuda.

The Shulchan Arukh (Orach Chayyim 318:1) paskens like the Rambam (Rabbi Yehuda), and almost all posekim agree with the tur (ibid) who says there is no difference between cooking and other melakhot.

Thus if a jew cooked on *shabbat b'shogeig* the food can't be eaten on shabbat, but on *motza'ei Shabbat* it can be eaten even by the cooker. But, if it was done *b'meizid*, only others can eat the food on *motza'ei Shabbat*, and the cooker can never eat the food.

The Gra (ibid. 2) paskins like Rabbi Meir, and the *Mishna Berura* (ibid. 7) says that we can rely on the Gra in time of *tzorech* (need). Thus in a time of need, the cooked food could be eaten on Shabbat already, if *b'meizid* then only by others, but if *b'shogeig* then even by the cooker.

Elsewhere (339), the *Mishna Berura* paskens unhesitatingly like the rest of the Gra's *pesak*: that if the violation was only on a *d'rabbanan* level then it is allowed to be enjoyed, and in *Sha'ar HaTziyyun* he says that really there is no reason to be *machmir*.

The Yalkut Yosef (Shabbat 3, p18) paskens that Sefaradim can't be *meikel* with *d'oraita*, but can with *d'rabbanan* that was done by mistake.

If we stop here and examine the *pesak* for your question we must say this- whoever pushed the button violated Shabbat on a *D'RABBANAN* level, and it was done on purpose (*b'meizid*). So, according to the *Mishna Berura* you can benefit from what was done and cross the road.

There are many other side-questions to discusssuch as (a) what if they press the button just for you? (b) what if it was a non-jew? (c) Is there a difference between a frum or non-frum jew? But, seeing that anyway these questions won't change the *pesak* in your case I took them out.

RAV AVICHAI GOODMAN IS IN SHEVET NETZACH AND IS THE RAV SHALIACH OF BNEI AKIVA.
TO CONTACT THE RAV, OR TO BE ADDED TO THE Q&A WHATSAPP GROUP, EMAIL RAV@BAUK.ORG.



TORAH FROM AROUND THE WORLD HAKHAM FAURI NAHALA 18TH SIVAN

Now it's time for our second trip across the Atlantic! From Ben-Gurion [TLV] we travel to Paris, Charles de Gaulle [CDG] and then on to Ezeiza International Airport [EZE] in Buenos Aires (just a hop across the river from the last time we were here!).

José Faur was born in Buenos Aires in 1934 to a Damascus family, as part of a community who had moved there around the time of WW1. He lived with his grandfather, who arranged for him to join the classes of the leading hakhamim of the community. The last av beit din of Damascus, Yitzchak Abulafia (author of the Penei Yitzchak) had two elderly students who had travelled to Buenos Aires, and Hakham Faur began studying under them aged 13. By 18, he had heard about the famed Beth Medrash Govoha, more commonly known as the Lakewood yeshiva, and moved to New Jersey to study there. After listening to the shiurim given by Rav Aharon Kotler, he began to fundamentally question them; reportedly, R' Kotler was not able to answer them on the spot, but always returned the next day with answers... which H' Faur would question, and so the cycle of learning would continue, thus gaining the young Faur a reputation as an ilui (prodigy). Eventually, the prevalent yeshiva-world focus on pilpulistic dialectics and casuistry dealt - in Faur's mind - too much violence to the text itself and to the

halakhic enterprise, and was totally incompatible with the Judaism he had been taught as a teenager, such that he left and entered the tutelage of Hakham Matloub Abadi in the Syrian Jewish community in Brooklyn. In Hakham Matloub Faur found a teacher of the Talmud who accorded with his earlier teachers, the elderly Damascus hakhamim. This form of studying Talmud dispensed with the obsessive predilection for com-

mentaries (read: intermediaries) between the reader and the text, and placed a high premium on the *tzurata dishmateta*, or the format and layout of the *sugya*. Understanding of technical terms is essential in order to arrive at the correct legal conclusion, and for that one requires an advanced grasp of both Hebrew and Aramaic grammar – one of the many things Faur felt were lacking in Lakewood.

Hakham Faur went on to study at the University of Barcelona, achieving an MA and PhD in Semitic Philology, and then received a post-doctoral fellowship studying under Saul Lieberman at the Jewish Theological Seminary. When H' Faur was appointed to a communal role, his affiliation at JTS was used as an excuse to launch a series of allegations against him, namely that 1) he had taught at JTS, 2) his books have a 'reiach apikorsus' (a scent of heresy), 3) he speaks 'improperly' about great medieval Ashkenazi sages; and 4) that he is a 'a threat to the purity of faith and religion in the congregation'. A letter to this effect was purportedly signed by 17 rabbis, although some 'signatures' were simply taken from condemnations of anyone who taught in a Conservative yeshiva, not specifically against H' Faur. For example, Rav Moshe Feinstein wrote a teshuva placing any teach-

ers at Conservative yeshivot in cherem. In response, Hakham Matloub wrote a haskama (approbation) for Faur, writing in defence of his righteousness and acceptability. Rav Shalom Messas (see Shabbat Lashem Parashat Tzav) convened a beit din to discuss this issue, and exonerated him from all charges, with Rishon LeZion Mordechai Eliyahu declaring that 'the greatest Sephardic hakham living in the US today is Rabbi Faur.' In 1995, Hakham Faur made aliyah and taught Talmud at Bar-llan and law at the Netanya Academic College Law School.

Hakham Faur's writings and thought revive what came to be called 'Old Sepharad' – the Geonic-Andalusian approach to Torah study. At the same time, he was greatly involved with the academic notions circulating in the late 20th century; his ideas draw influence from post-structuralists such as Derrida and much of his theory of Rabbinic literature rests on the study of semiotics. In *Golden Doves with Silver Dots*, he advocated the discarding of the Greek, ontological, meta-

physical approach to the universe in favour of what he considered the Jewish, semiotic approach (cf. *SL Parashat Va'eira*). Furthermore, Faur reintroduced the idea of Maimonidean mysticism, in stark contrast to the prevalent *kabbala*. Briefly, rather than content-based mysticism, as is presented by the *Zohar*, where the mystic attempts to gain positive knowledge of God and the divine realm, in reality no 'posi-

tive' assertions whatsoever can be made about God, who stands beyond any form of human comprehension. Thus to have a 'mystical experience', one must first undergo apophasis, clearing one's mind of all preconceived notions of God and the divine, to then 'allow God in', so to speak. This also flies in the face of the so-called 'rationalism' associated with Maimonides, which has become a common knee-jerk reaction to the highly prevalent popular *kabbala*. In reality, the mystical experience is the product of the 'post-rational' individual; neither a highly trained 'mystic' nor a feel-good sing-song is enough to produce real closeness to God.

One of Hakham Faur's most fundamental teachings is the idea of the horizontal society. Since the covenant is formed between God and every member of *yisrael*, every member is on equal footing in God's eyes. Moreover, unlike in Pagan societies, not only the sovereign but the Deity Himself is equally bound by the law! The law was to be widely disseminated, not kept simply within the purview of the priests, and indeed was to be publicly read every seven years, as well as each member of the covenant charged with having their own copy. In this sense, the Torah gives absolute equality among all members of the covenant with God.

WHAT'S GOING ON?

- Manchester SBM continues this Monday 5th June at the Manchester Bayit!
- If you have **exams coming up**, join other chaveirim studying at **Revision B'bayit**, with helpful bogrim onhand to assist! Plus some Torah and refreshments.
- **Lishmah** for bogrot and sixth-form women continues this **Tuesday 6**th **June**. Join this **dynamic** *mekom Torah* for women!
- London SBM continues on Thursday 8th June

- at **Kinloss**! Make sure to sign up so we can order enough food.
- **Summer Machane** applications are open! Visit **bauk.org/camps** to sign up.
- To give any **comments** or **suggestions** to Bnei Akiva, please visit bauk.org/feedback.
- To keep up-to-date with all our latest events and announcements, make sure you're following us on **Facebook** and **Instagram**!

FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ANY OF THESE EVENTS, PLEASE CONTACT BEN AT CHINUCH@BAUK.ORG



